

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
CENTRAL COURTHOUSE

TENTATIVE RULINGS - October 03, 2024

HEARING DATE: 10/04/2024 HEARING TIME: 10:30 AM DEPT.: C-70

JUDICIAL OFFICER: CAROLYN M. CAIETTI

CASE NO.:37-2023-00027207-CU-OE-CTL

CASE TITLE: Tredo vs Ademco Inc [IMAGED]

CASE TYPE: (U)Other Employment

HEARING TYPE:

Plaintiff Lisset Tredo's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement is **GRANTED**.

The motion for final approval will be heard on January 31, 2025, at 10:30 a.m. in Department 70. Please provide a courtesy copy of the motion papers to Department 70.

The Court reviewed the moving papers, supporting declarations, and settlement, and finds the proposed settlement to be fair, adequate and reasonable for purposes of preliminary approval. (See e.g., *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.* (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1800–1801; *Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (2008) 168 Cal.App.4th 116, 128.) Notable settlement provisions include that the settlement is non-reversionary and class members do not need to submit a claim to receive their share of the settlement funds. There is also an escalation clause. Defendants did not file an opposition to the motion.

For final approval, the Court expects to be informed of the final hours worked and hourly rates billed by Plaintiff's counsel, the total attorney fees and costs sought, as well as the total amount of time expended, and tasks completed by the Class Representatives on the case.

The Court will: (i) modify the proposed order to reflect the date of the final approval hearing; and (ii) sign the proposed order. (ROA 24.) That order will be the Court's final order on the motion.